# Adsorption Equilibria of *cis*-5,8,11,14,17-Eicosapentaenoic Acid Ethyl Ester and *cis*-4,7,10,13,16,19-Docosahexaenoic Acid Ethyl Ester from Supercritical Carbon Dioxide on Silica Gel

# Yisong Han, Yiwen Yang, and Pingdong Wu\*

National Laboratory of Secondary Resources Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China

Adsorption data of *cis*-5,8,11,14,17-eicosapentaenoic acid ethyl ester (EPA-EE) and *cis*-4,7,10,13,16,19-docosahexaenoic acid ethyl ester (DHA-EE) from supercritical carbon dioxide on silica gel were determined at temperatures from (319.15 to 340.35) K and carbon dioxide densities from (0.668 to 0.734) g·mL<sup>-1</sup> by using the elution by characteristic point (ECP) method. The equilibrium isotherm data were fitted using a Langmuir model. The monolayer adsorption capacity of EPA-EE is 0.130 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, and that of DHA-EE is 0.119 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>. The adsorption enthalpy change of EPA-EE is in the range of (34.4 to 38.0) kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>, and that of DHA-EE is in the range of (34.4 to 40.6) kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

### Introduction

In recent years, *cis*-5,8,11,14,17-eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and *cis*-4,7,10,13,16,19-docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) have attracted great attention because of their beneficial role in human health. These two  $\omega$ -3 fatty acids are vital for the retina of human eyes and for the nervous system and reduce the risk of cardiovascular and inflammatory disease. Up to now, they have usually been processed into the form of their ethyl ester (EE) or methyl ester (ME) and used as their mixtures. The functions of EPA-EE and DHA-EE are different; i.e., DHA-EE especially benefits mental capacity and is usually added in infant foods to improve baby's brains, whereas EPA-EE is harmful to children's eyesight. So, it is of significance to separate them into their pure form.

Over the past ten years, some studies related to the separation of EPA-EE from DHA-EE by supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) using supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> as the mobile phase have been carried out. Alkio et al.<sup>1</sup> prepared DHA-EE of 95 % assay and EPA-EE of 50 % assay at 338.15 K and 145 bar by using C18-bonded silica as the stationary phase. Yamaguchi et al.<sup>2</sup> obtained DHA-EE of 96 % assay by using argentated silica gel as the stationary phase and acetone or acetonitrile as the modifier. Pettinello et al.<sup>3</sup> prepared EPA-EE of 90 % assay with silica gel. Yang et al.<sup>4</sup> compared silica gel and C18-bonded silica and found that they both can separate EPA-EE from DHA-EE. However, other impurities were not well separated, and combination of the two stationary phases could improve the quality and productivity of EPA-EE and DHA-EE. However, to date, investigations on the separation of EPA-EE and DHA-EE by SFC have focused mainly on process technology. For the optimization of process and engineering design, it is essential to describe the SFC process by an appropriate mathematical model. As a consequence, basic data such as adsorption isotherms, diffusion coefficients and mass transfer coefficients are necessary. On the other hand, as a problem of physical chemistry, the adsorption of solutes from a supercritical fluid

\* Corresponding author. Tel: +86-571-87984733. Fax: +86-571-87952773. E-mail: wupd@zju.edu.cn.



Figure 1. Elution by characteristic point (ECP).

is unique in comparison with the adsorption from the gas phase or ordinary liquid solutions. Only a few relevant studies are found in the literature. For example, Tan and his co-workers<sup>5,6</sup> studied the adsorption of toluene and ethyl acetate on activated carbon from supercritical carbon dioxide. In addition, adsorption of some solutes such as  $\alpha$ -tocopherol,<sup>7–9</sup> vitamin D<sub>3</sub>,<sup>7,8</sup> terpene,<sup>10,11</sup> furfural,<sup>12</sup> salicylic acid,<sup>13</sup> and eicosane<sup>14</sup> from supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> have also been studied. Thus, it is of great value to undertake some research in this field. The present work is intended to determine the adsorption isotherms of EPA-EE and DHA-EE from supercritical carbon dioxide on silica gel.

*Fundamentals.* Adsorption isotherms are usually determined by either the static method or the frontal analysis method (FA). These methods are very tedious and time-consuming, particularly when used for a system composed of a supercritical fluid and viscous solutes like EPA-EE and DHA-EE. The method of elution by characteristic point (ECP), which was proposed by Glueckauf<sup>15</sup> and then well developed by Cremer and Huber,<sup>16</sup> is more convenient for the system studied in the present work. By ECP, an appropriate amount of an adsorbate sample is injected into a chromatographic column packed with an adsorbent and a chromatogram with a steep front, and a diffuse rear profile is obtained by elution. Assuming instant adsorption equilibrium is reached between the adsorption phase and the fluid phase, it was derived that at a characteristic point *i* (Figure 1) on the diffuse profile which corresponds to a concentration



**Figure 2.** Schematic diagram of the experimental apparatus. 1,  $CO_2$  cylinder; 2,  $CO_2$  condenser; 3, cooling water pump; 4,  $CO_2$  pump; 5, pressure gauge; 6, surge tank; 7, preheater; 8, injection loop; 9, injector; 10, chromatographic column; 11, pressure transducer; 12, thermostat; 13, pressure display; 14, temperature controller; 15, UV detector; 16, flow meter; V1, stop valve; V2, pressure regulator.

Table 1. Physical Properties of Silica Gel

mean particle size surface area	$5 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$ 358.3 m <sup>2</sup> · g <sup>-1</sup>
pore volume	
< 30 Å	$0.054 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$
30 to 200 Å	$0.397 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$
> 200 Å	$0.044 \text{ cm}^3 \cdot \text{g}^{-1}$
average pore diameter	115.3 Å

of  $C_i$  in the fluid phase, the adsorption amount  $q_i$  is expressed by

$$q_i = \frac{1}{M} \int_0^{C_i} V_{\mathrm{R}}(C) dC \tag{1}$$

$$V_{\rm R} = V_i - V_0 \tag{2}$$

where *M* is the mass of adsorbent in the column;  $V_i$  is the retention volume of the characteristic point *i*; and  $V_0$  is the dead volume of the column.

Though ECP is based on the instant equilibrium model which neglects all peak broadening factors, some studies have demonstrated that ECP is of high accuracy and precision when the column efficiency is close to or exceeds 5000 theoretical plates.<sup>17,18</sup>

### Experimental

The experimental apparatus is illustrated in Figure 2. The volume of the two injection loops were (200 and 5)  $\mu$ L. The column of size of 250 × 4.6 mm i.d. was filled with silica gel purchased from EKA Chemicals, Bohus, Sweden (the physical properties are listed in Table 1). The bed void and particle porosity were 0.46 and 0.45, respectively. Carbon dioxide was purchased from Hangzhou Mingxing Ind. & Mer. Ltd., Hang-zhou, China (purity > 99.995 %). EPA-EE and DHA-EE were

prepared in this laboratory (purity > 99 %), and *n*-hexane was purchased from Eedia Company Inc., USA (spectroscopic grade).

Calibration of the solute concentration in supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> vs the detector signal was carried out by the static method described in Tan's work.<sup>5</sup> The detector will be applied to the method of ECP in this paper. It was found that up to the concentration of EPA-EE or DHA-EE of 8 mg $\cdot$ mL<sup>-1</sup>, at the detection wavelength of 220 nm, the detector signal (S) was linear with respect to the solute concentration (C) in supercritical  $CO_2$ , i.e.,  $S = hC_1$  The value of h depended on temperature and the density of  $CO_2$ , while  $R^2$  values of regression were almost the same. For example, the regression for EPA-EE at 329.15 K and 0.700 g·mL<sup>-1</sup> results in  $\tilde{S} = 303.4C$  with an  $R^2$  of 0.9987. Measurement of, the adsorption isotherms was conducted by injecting 200 µL of EPA-EE or DHA-EE solution with a concentration of 200 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup> in *n*-hexane (about 132 mg of *n*-hexane was injected) at a defined temperature, pressure, and flow rate. The flow rate was kept constant for each run, and for all the runs, the flow rates were in the range of (2.9 to 3.5) mL·min<sup>-1</sup>. Before a sample was injected, 5  $\mu$ L of EPA-EE or DHA-EE solution (0.5 mg  $\cdot$  mL<sup>-1</sup> in *n*-hexane) was injected to check the column efficiency under each condition.

### **Results and Discussion**

Typical diffuse profiles of the chromatograph of EPA-EE at 329.15 K of three densities are shown in Figure 3. It can be seen that the front of the chromatogram is almost vertical to the abscissa which is a typical result of a favorable adsorption isotherm under the instant equilibrium condition. Furthermore, a peak of Gaussian distribution was obtained when a sample of 5  $\mu$ L was injected, and the column efficiency determined was greater than 10 000. This ensures that the instant equilibrium



Figure 3. Elution peaks of EPA-EE with large injection obtained at 329.15 K: (a)  $0.668 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ , (b)  $0.700 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ , (c)  $0.734 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ .



**Figure 4.** Adsorption data at densities of (a) 0.668  $g \cdot mL^{-1}$ , (b) 0.700  $g \cdot mL^{-1}$ , and (c) 0.734  $g \cdot mL^{-1}$  fitted by the Langmuir equation:  $\blacksquare$  and  $\Box$ , T = 319.15 K; • and  $\bigcirc$ , T = 329.15 K; • and  $\diamondsuit$ , T = 340.35 K (T = 337.15 K at 0.700  $g \cdot mL^{-1}$ ); closed symbols, EPA-EE (1); open symbols, DHA-EE (2); solid lines, Langmuir model.

model of the ECP method is satisfied. The adsorption data of EPA-EE and DHA-EE at (319.15, 329.15, and 340.35) K under densities of (0.668, 0.700, and 0.734)  $g \cdot mL^{-1}$  were obtained

from the diffuse profiles and are plotted in Figure 4. Densities in this study were calculated by the Span and Wagner equation of state.<sup>19</sup>

Experimental data in Figure 4 indicate that the adsorption amount decreases with increasing temperature at a fixed CO<sub>2</sub> density. This trend is in accord with the basic principle of thermodynamics. In comparison to adsorption from ordinary liquid solutions, the adsorption amount depends not only on the temperature and the concentration but also on a new variable, i.e., the density of the supercritical fluid. The interaction between the molecules of the adsorbate and carbon dioxide increases as the CO<sub>2</sub> density increases. In addition, the competition adsorption of carbon dioxide on silica gel is enhanced by a higher CO<sub>2</sub> density as well. As a result, the adsorption amount of EPA-EE or DHA-EE decreases significantly with increasing CO<sub>2</sub> density. For example, at 329.15 K and a fluid concentration of  $0.002 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ , the adsorption amount of EPA-EE under  $0.668 \text{ g} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$  is about 0.06 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, whereas under 0.734  $g \cdot mL^{-1}$ , it is about 0.04 mmol  $\cdot g^{-1}$ .

Under the same conditions, the adsorption amount of DHA-EE is more than that of EPA-EE at the same equilibrium concentration in  $CO_2$ . This is mainly due to the oxygen atom of the hydroxyl on the surface of the silica gel which tends to adsorb electron donors such as double bonds, and there is one more double bond in DHA-EE than in EPA-EE.

*Modeling of the Adsorption Data.* The Langmuir model (eq 3) is applied to represent adsorption data

$$q = \frac{q_s KC}{1 + KC} \tag{3}$$

where  $q_s$  is the monolayer adsorption capacity (mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>) and *K* is the adsorption coefficient (mL·mmol<sup>-1</sup>).

The solid lines in Figure 4 are the fitted results using the Langmuir model. It is apparent that the Langmuir model achieves a satisfactory fit with an average absolute deviation (AAD %) of about 0.6 %. The fitting parameters are listed in Table 2. As shown in Table 2, under all conditions, the monolayer adsorption capacity  $(q_s)$  of EPA-EE is in the range of (0.125 to 0.144) mmol $\cdot$ g<sup>-1</sup>, and there is no relationship between  $q_s$  and temperature or CO<sub>2</sub> density. The calculated average  $q_s$  of all the conditions is 0.130 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, with an average deviation of 0.004 mmol $\cdot$ g<sup>-1</sup>. This makes sense because the adsorption amount of a monolayer depends mainly on the way the adsorbed molecules are packed on the surface and thus would not change with adsorption conditions. The same is observed for  $q_s$  of DHA-EE which is in the range of (0.113 to 0.131) mmol  $\cdot g^{-1}$ , with an average of 0.119 mmol  $\cdot g^{-1}$ .

The constant K decreases with increasing temperature or increasing density. Under the same conditions, the K value of

 Table 2. Fitting Parameters of the Langmuir Model and Selectivity Factors for EPA-EE (1) and DHA-EE (2)

		EPA-EE		DHA-EE				
ρ	Т	$q_{\rm s}$	Κ		$q_{\rm s}$	Κ		
$g \cdot mL^{-1}$	K	$\overline{\text{mmol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}}$	$\overline{\mathrm{mL}} \cdot \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$	AAD %	$\overline{\text{mmol} \cdot \text{g}^{-1}}$	$\overline{\mathrm{mL}} \cdot \mathrm{mmol}^{-1}$	AAD %	α
0.668	319.15	0.125	588	0.5	0.113	839	0.6	1.29
	329.15	0.131	414	0.6	0.117	591	0.6	1.28
	340.45	0.144	240	0.5	0.131	323	0.5	1.22
0.700	319.15	0.129	394	0.4	0.117	531	0.4	1.22
	329.15	0.135	276	0.5	0.122	367	0.5	1.20
	337.15	0.126	196	0.6	0.115	257	0.3	1.19
0.734	319.15	0.130	319	0.4	0.120	401	0.5	1.16
	329.15	0.134	223	0.5	0.124	275	0.6	1.14
	340.45	0.130	140	1.2	0.116	178	2.1	1.13



**Figure 5.** Plots of  $\ln K$  vs 1/T at different densities:  $\blacksquare$  and  $\Box$ , 0.668 g·mL<sup>-1</sup>; • and  $\bigcirc$ , 0.700 g·mL<sup>-1</sup>;  $\diamondsuit$  and  $\blacklozenge$ , 0.734 g·mL<sup>-1</sup>; closed symbols, EPA-EE (1); open symbols, DHA-EE (2).

 Table 3. Enthalpy Change of Adsorption

	$-\Delta H/kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$			
$\rho/g \cdot mL^{-1}$	EPA-EE	DHA-EE		
0.668	38.0	40.6		
0.700	34.4	35.9		
0.734	34.8	34.4		

DHA-EE is greater than that of EPA-EE. The selectivity of DHA-EE and EPA-EE on silica gel can be defined as

$$\alpha = \frac{K_{\rm DHA-EE}}{K_{\rm EPA-EE}} \tag{4}$$

It can be seen from Table 2 that  $\alpha$  decreases as CO<sub>2</sub> density or temperature increases.

*Enthalpy Change of Adsorption.* Suppose the Langmuir equilibrium constant K obeys the following equation<sup>20</sup>

$$K = k_0 \mathrm{e}^{\frac{-\Delta H}{RT}} \tag{5}$$

where  $k_0$  is an adsorption energy independent constant (mL·mmol<sup>-1</sup>);  $\Delta H$  is the enthalpy change of adsorption (kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>); and *R* is the universal ideal gas constant (8.314 J·mol<sup>-1</sup>).  $\Delta H$  can be determined from the slope of the line of the plot of ln *K* vs 1/*T*. Figure 5 shows the plots, and the estimated  $\Delta H$  is given in Table 3. It can be seen that  $\Delta H$  at 0.668 g·mL<sup>-1</sup> is greater than at 0.700 g·mL<sup>-1</sup>, while those at (0.700 and 0.734) g·mL<sup>-1</sup> are almost the same.

## Conclusion

Using the method of ECP, the adsorption isotherms of EPA-EE and DHA-EE from supercritical carbon dioxide on silica gel have been measured. The selectivity factor  $\alpha$  for EPA-EE and DHA-EE is greater at lower temperature and density. Density is the main factor that affects the adsorption isotherm. The equilibrium isotherm data were fitted well by the Langmuir model with an average deviation of about 0.6 %. The monolayer adsorption capacity of EPA-EE and DHA-EE are similar. The enthalpy change of adsorption of EPA-EE and DHA-EE are in the range of (34.4 to 40.6)  $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ .

### Literature Cited

- Alkio, M.; Gonzalez, C.; Jantti, M.; Aaltonen, O. Purification of polyunsaturated fatty acid esters from tuna oil with supercritical fluid chromatography. *JAOCS* 2000, 77, 315–321.
- (2) Yamaguchi, M.; Kadota, Y.; Tanaka, I.; Ohtsu, Y. New separation method of high-purity DHA by supercritical fluid chromatography. J. Jpn. Oil Chem. Soc. 1999, 48, 1169–1176.
- (3) Pettinello, G.; Bertucco, A.; Pollado, P.; Stassi, A. Production of EPA enriched mixtures by supercritical fluid chromatography: from the laboratory scale to the pilot plant. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2000, 19, 51– 60.
- (4) Yang, Y. W.; Wu, C. J.; Wang, X. D.; Huang, M.; Ren, Q. L. Purification of EPA-EE and DHA-EE with supercritical fluid chromatography. *Gaoxiao Huaxue Gongcheng Xuebao* 2004, 18, 293–296.
- (5) Tan, C. S.; Liou, D. C. Adsorption equilibrium of toluene from supercritical carbon dioxide on activated carbon. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 1990, 29, 1412–1415.
- (6) Tan, C. S.; Liou, D. C. Desorption of ethyl acetate from activated carbon by supercritical carbon dioxide. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 1988, 27, 988–991.
- (7) Buβ, V. Präparative Chromatographie mit überkritischen Fluiden am Beispiel einer Vitaminreinigung, Dissertation, Technische Universität Hamburg-Harburg, Hamburg, 2002.
- (8) Buβ, V., Johannsen, M.; Brunner, G. Preparative supercritical fluid chromatography in elution mode, In Proceeding of the Second International Meeting on High Pressure Chemical Engineering, Hamburg, Germany, March 7–9, 2001.
- (9) Bolten, D.; Johannsen, M. Influence of 2-propanol on adsorption equilibria of α- and β-tocopherol from supercritical carbon dioxide on silica gel. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2006, 51, 2132–2137.
- (10) Reverchon, E.; Lamberti, G.; Subra, P. Modelling and simulation of the supercritical adsorption of complex terpene mixtures. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **1998**, *53*, 3537–3544.
- (11) Subra, P.; Bancel, A.-V.; Reeverchon, E. Breakthrough curves and adsorption isotherms of terpene mixtures in supercritical carbon dioxide. J. Supercrit. Fluids 1998, 12, 43–57.
- (12) Lucas, S.; Cocero, M. J.; Zetzl, C.; Brunner, G. Adsorption isotherms for ethylacetate and furfural on activated carbon from supercritical carbon dioxide. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2004**, *219*, 171–179.
- (13) Kikic, I.; Alessi, P.; Cortesi, A. An experimental study of supercritical adsorption equilibria salicylic acid on activated carbon. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1996**, *117*, 304–311.
- (14) Gregorowicz, J. Adsorption of eicosane and 1,2-hexanediol from supercritical carbon dioxide on activated carbon and chromosorb. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 2005, 238, 142–148.
- (15) Gluckauf, E. Adsorption isotherms from chromatographic measurement. *Nature* **1945**, *156*, 748–749.
- (16) Cremer, E.; Huber, H. F. Measurement of adsorption isotherm by means of high-temperature elution gas chromatography. *Gas Chromatog. Intern. Symp.* **1962**, *3*, 169–82.
- (17) Guan, H.; Stanley, B. J.; Guiochon, G. Theoretical study of the accuracy and precision of the measurement of single-component isotherms by the elution by characteristic point method. *J. Chromatogr.* **1994**, 659, 27–41.
- (18) Miyabe, K.; Khattabi, S.; Cherrak, D. E.; Guiochon, G. Study on the accuracy of the elution by characteristic point method for determination of single component isotherms. J. Chromatogr., A 2000, 872, 1–21.
- (19) Span, R.; Wagner, W. A new equation of state for carbon dioxide covering the fluid region from the triple-point temperature to 1100K at pressures up to 800 MPa. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1996, 25, 1509– 1596.
- (20) Graham, D. The characterization of physical adsorption systems. I. The equilibrium function and standard free energy of adsorption. J. Phys. Chem. 1953, 57, 665–669.

Received for review March 24, 2007. Accepted October 27, 2007. JE700147C